

Attempts to Regulate Music Distribution over the Internet Are Misguided

The Internet, 2002

In the following viewpoint, Phyllis Schlafly argues that sharing free music via websites like Napster is legal under fair-use applications of U.S. copyright law. She contends that the recording industry is working to make it illegal to share free music over the Internet simply to protect its domination of the music market. Schlafly predicts that websites like Napster will change the way people obtain music and other intellectual property, and that industries dealing in intellectual property will eventually profit from the expanded market. Phyllis Schlafly is a syndicated columnist.

As you read, consider the following questions:

1. According to Schlafly, what did the 1992 Audio Home Recording Act legalize?
2. What does the term "work for hire" mean, according to the author?
3. According to Schlafly, how did the advent of VCRs affect the movie industry?

"This is a culture war, between the powers that were and that will be."

Is he talking about abortion? Gay rights? Hollywood violence? Illegitimacy? No, the culture war is about ownership and regulation of the Internet, according to John Perry Barlow, Grateful Dead lyricist and cyber rights activist.

Napster Versus the Music Cartel

Barlow may be right. The influence of the Internet may be overflowing into our culture, as well as our politics.

The current flash point of controversy about the Internet is a case in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, *Recording Industry Association of American (RIAA) vs. Napster*, where the five giant music companies are fighting a web site called Napster for facilitating online music.¹ The music cartel wants to stop listening to music on the Internet.

Most music CDs are stamped with a warning that unauthorized duplication is prohibited by law, but this is not true. It's the same claim Hollywood made when trying to stop individuals from taping TV shows with VCRs, but the Supreme Court in 1984 ruled that unauthorized copying for the purpose of time-shifting is legitimate "fair use."

Furthermore, Congress specifically legalized the noncommercial consumer copying of digital music in the 1992 Audio Home Recording Act. The music cartel lobbied for this bill because its main purpose was to allow the cartel to control and impose a mandatory royalty on digital audio tape.

Artists Versus the Music Cartel

The political power of the music cartel in Washington, D.C., was also demonstrated in 1999 when it sneaked a law through Congress making music a "work for hire," i.e., the property of the recording companies rather than the musicians. Without any hearings or debate, this provision was buried in an unrelated bill as a "technical correction" and signed by President Bill Clinton.

Musicians were outraged that the law no longer considered them authors of their own music, and Sheryl Crow and other artists testified at a post-passage hearing of the House Intellectual Property subcommittee. Subcommittee Chairman Howard Coble, R-N.C., was unsympathetic, grumbling that he hoped rock star Don Henley (of Eagles fame) "gets carpal tunnel syndrome" from counting his money.

The recording cartel backed down and agreed to the repeal of this law. The music cartel usually gets what it wants: In recent years it has gotten Congress to pass a copyright term extension, draconian criminal penalties on small incidents of copyright infringement and a law to criminalize "circumvention" of the wishes of a copyright owner.

Copyright Law

For years, the music cartel was coercing retailers to sell CDs at the manufacturer's suggested retail price, a violation of antitrust law. The Federal Trade Commission (FTC) stopped this price-fixing scheme and 28 states are now suing for hundreds of millions of dollars in damages.

The music cartel desperately wants to shut down Napster and similar web sites that facilitate the non-commercial sharing of music. The big five labels are frightened that online music may upset their out-of-date business practices.

The U.S. Constitution allows Congress to enact copyright protections for authors "to promote the progress of science and useful arts," not to protect the special interests of Hollywood or music executives. Copyright holders have certain temporary rights but, under fair-use applications, so do consumers, and that's what the music cartel and other powerful interests are trying to eliminate through Internet regulation.

The Internet is a medium for peer-to-peer communication. The phone company doesn't regulate who we call or what we say on the phone, and the music industry should not be regulating Internet connections.

Nor should anyone be regulating information on how to use products in a manner that has been traditionally and legally considered fair use. Unfortunately, the Digital Millennium Copyright Act [which became law in 1998] was intended, by Hollywood and others who lobbied for it, to give copyright owners a measure of control they never had before.

A 16-year-old Norwegian kid figured out a code that allows people to view legitimately purchased DVD movies on Linux computers, even though Hollywood rigged these movies to be playable only on Windows computers and other machines. Now, Hollywood is suing everyone who spreads the word about the DVD code, including a magazine called *2600* and one guy who put the code on a T-shirt.

Changing Distribution Models

Despite scare stories, new technologies have nearly always expanded markets and created new opportunities for profits. Hollywood fought VCRs in Congress and all the way to the Supreme Court, and lost every battle in spite of doom-and-gloom predictions about how VCRs would ruin the industry.

It turned out that videotaped movies became a financial bonanza for Hollywood. We can also tape music over radio, but radio did not ruin the music market.

I have shelves of CDs I never listen to, but I'd buy a lot more if I could conveniently and easily find the music I really like. But the music cartel has blocked the online sale of the music it controls, and sites such as emusic.com have only fringe music.

Even though new technologies tend to disrupt current business models, legislative or judicial attempts to protect economically inefficient distribution channels are misguided.

Footnotes

1. Verdict in the Napster case was still pending at press time.

Further Readings

Books

- Janet Abbate. *Inventing the Internet*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1999.
- David Brown. *Cybertrends: Chaos, Power, and Accountability in the Information Age*. New York: Viking, 1997.
- Andrew Calcutt. *White Noise: An A-Z of the Contradictions in Cyberculture*. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1999.
- Jim Davis, Thomas Hirschl, and Michael Stack. *Cutting Edge: Technology, Information, Capitalism, and Social Revolution*. New York: Verso Books, 1998.
- Michael L. Dertouzos. *What Will Be: How the New World of Information Will Change Our Lives*. San Francisco: HarperEdge, 1997.
- Stephen Doheny-Farina. *The Wired Neighborhood*. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press, 1996.
- James A. Dorn, ed. *Hazy the Internet Will Change the Economy*. Washington, DC: Cato Institute, 1999.
- Esther Dyson. *Release 2.0: A Design for Living in the Digital Age*. New York: Broadway Books, 1997.
- Bill Gates. *The Road Ahead*. New York: Penguin, 1996.
- Paul Gilster. *Digital Literacy*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1997.
- Mike Godwin. *Cyber Rights: Defending Free Speech in the Digital Age*. New York: Random House, 1998.
- David N. Greenfield. *Virtual Addiction: Help for Netheads, Cyber Freaks, and Those Who Love Them*. Oakland, CA: New Harbinger, 1999.

- Douglas Groothuis. *The Soul in Cyberspace*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Book House, 1999.
- Jon Katz. *Media Rants: Postpolitics in the Digital Nation*. San Francisco: Hardwired, 1997.
- Peter Ludlow, ed. *High Noon on the Electronic Frontier: Conceptual Issues in Cyberspace*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1996.
- William J. Mitchell. *City of Bits: Space, Place, and the Infobahn*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1996.
- William J. Mitchell. *E-Topia*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2000.
- Christos J.P. Moschovitis. *History of the Internet: A Chronology, 1843 to the Present*. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO, 1999.
- John Naughton. *A Brief History of the Future: Origins of the Internet*. New York: Overlook Press, 2000.
- Charles Platt. *Anarchy Online*. New York: HarperPrism, 1996.
- Gregory J.E. Rawlins. *Moths to the Flame: The Seduction of Computer Technology*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1996.
- Jeremy Rifkin. *The Age of Access: The New Culture of Hypercapitalism Where All Life Is a Paid-For Experience*. New York: Putnam, 2000.
- Gene I. Rochlin. *Trapped in the Net: The Unanticipated Consequences of Computerization*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press, 1998.
- Herbert Schiller. *Information Inequality: The Deepening Social Crisis in America*. New York: Routledge, 1996.
- Andrew L. Shapiro. *Control Revolution: How the Internet Is Putting Individuals in Charge and Changing the World as We Know It*. New York: Public Affairs, 2000.
- David Shenk. *Data Smog*. San Francisco: HarperEdge, 1997.
- Marc Smith and Peter Kollack, eds. *Communities in Cyberspace*. New York: Routledge, 1998.
- Clifford Stoll. *High Tech Heretic: Reflections of a Computer Contrarian*. New York: Anchor Press, 2000.
- Clifford Stoll. *Silicon Snake Oil: Second Thoughts on the Information Highway*. New York: Anchor Press, 1996.
- Chris Toulouse and Timothy W. Luke, eds. *The Politics of Cyberspace: A New Political Science Reader*. New York: Routledge, 1998.
- Gary Young, ed. *The Internet*. New York: H.W. Wilson, 1998.
- Kimberly S. Young. *Caught in the Net: How to Recognize the Signs of Internet Addiction—And a Winning Strategy for Recovery*. John Wiley and Sons, 1998.
- Jonathan Wallace and Mark Mangan. *Sex, Laws, and Cyberspace*. New York: Owl Books, 1997.
- Patricia M. Wallace. *The Psychology of the Internet*. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- David B. Whittle. *Cyberspace: The Human Dimension*. New York: W.H. Freeman, 1997.
- Jeff Zaleski. *The Soul of Cyberspace: How New Technology Is Changing Our Spiritual Lives*. San Francisco: HarperEdge, 1998.

Periodicals

- David Banisar. "Big Browser Is Watching You," *Index on Censorship*, March 2000.

- John Perry Barlow. "A Declaration of the Independence of Cyberspace," *Humanist*, May/June 1996.
- Dennis K. Berman. "Why Napster Is Good News," *Business Week*, August 7, 2000.
- Robert Coles. "Safety Lessons for the Internet," *New York Times*, October 11, 1997.
- Joseph L. Dionne. "Making Room for Privacy in the Global Village," *Christian Science Monitor*, August 5, 1997.
- Michael S. Greve. "Yes, Tax the 'Net'" *Weekly Standard*, May 15, 2000. Available from 1211 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036.
- Stephen Levy. "On the Net, Anything Goes," *Newsweek*, July 7, 1997.
- Greg Miller. "Consumer Privacy May Be More Secure Online than Off," *Los Angeles Times*, May 13, 1999. Available from Times Mirror Square, Los Angeles, CA 90053.
- Daniel Mitchell. "Plan to Tax Internet Sales Would Turn the Constitution Upside Down," *Insight*, August 21, 2000. Available from 3600 New York Ave. NE, Washington, DC 20002.
- Alexandra Samuel and Abby Scher. "Cookies Are Not So Sweet," *Dollars and Sense*, January/February 2000.
- Nicholas Thompson. "Harlot's Web," *Washington Monthly*, November 1999.
- Lars Ulrich. "It's Our Property," *Newsweek*, June 5, 2000.

Full Text: COPYRIGHT 2005 Gale, COPYRIGHT 2006 Gale.

Source Citation

Schlafly, Phyllis. "Attempts to Regulate Music Distribution over the Internet Are Misguided." *The Internet*. Ed. James D. Torr. San Diego: Greenhaven Press, 2002. Opposing Viewpoints. *Opposing Viewpoints In Context*. Web. 20 Mar. 2013.

Document URL

http://ic.galegroup.com/ic/ovic/ViewpointsDetailsPage/ViewpointsDetailsWindow?fa ilOverType=&query=&prodId=OVIC&windowstate=normal&contentModules =&mode=view&displayGroupName=Viewpoints&limiter=&currPage=&d isableHighlighting=true&displayGroups=&sortBy=&source=&search_wi thin_results=&zid=&action=e&catId=&activityType=&scanId=& ;documentId=GALE%7CEJ3010236224&userGroupName=tlc049072579&jsid=472 aa2e9 c63c16d35212aa5824cf181a

Gale Document Number: GALE|EJ3010236224